arXiv:1210.3783v1 [math.NT] 14 Oct 2012

# EICHLER INTERALS AND HARMONIC WEAK MAASS FORMS

DOHOON CHOI, BYUNGCHAN KIM, AND SUBONG LIM

Abstract. Recently, K. Bringmann and et al. introduce Eichler integrals for harmonic weak Maass forms of full modular group. In this article, by employing the supplementary function theory developed by M. Knopp and his collaborators, we extend Eichler integral of harmonic weak Maass forms for more general group, namely, $H$-group. In particular, we show that the set of Eichler integrals of which period functions are polynomials is the same as the set of holomorphic parts of harmonic weak Maass forms of which non-holomorphic parts are periodic integration of cusp forms. From this we deduce relations among period functions for harmonic weak Maass forms.

## 1. Introduction

In a seminal paper [8], M. Eichler introduced an Eichler integral for the cusp form $f(z)$ of weight $k$, which is essentially

$$\int_z^{i\infty} f(\tau)(z-\tau)^{k-2}d\tau. \tag{1.1}$$

This simply defined integral is deeply related with many area of mathematics. In particular, G. Shimura [17] and Y. Manin [14] showed that these are deeply connected with the central values of L-functions. On the other hand, there have been much studies on arithmetic properties of harmonic weak Maass forms. Especially, the holomorphic parts of harmonic weak Maass forms encode many beautiful mathematical objects. In particular, S. Zwegers [19], K. Bringmann and K. Ono [4], and D. Zagier [18] revealed the deep relations between harmonic weak Maass forms and Ramanujan's mock theta functions. For detailed implications and applications, we recommend Ono's nice survey paper [16].

In this light, it is natural to ask whether we can think of Eichler integrals for harmonic weak Maass forms. Since (1.1) does not converge for the non-cusp forms, one has to find another way to define the Eichler integral. In a recent paper [5], K. Bringmann, P. Guerzhoy, Z. Kent and K. Ono overcome this difficulty for harmonic weak Maass forms of the full modular group by defining the Eichler integral as a formal power series. Their new definition is motivated by the following well known fact: for the cusp form $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a(n)q^n$, the integral (1.1) is essentially

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a(n)n^{1-k}q^n, \tag{1.2}$$

where $q=\exp(2\pi iz)$. Once we extend Eichler integrals for harmonic weak Maass forms on the full modular group, it is natural to seek a further extension to more general group and this is the goal of our paper. The main ideas of [5] are employing Bol's identity and a functional equation

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F37, Secondary 32N10.

Keywords: Eichler integral, harmonic weak Maass form, period polynomial, period function.

for the associated L-series. These ideas beautifully manifested a connection between the period polynomial and the obstruction to modularity, but it is hard to find the contribution from the constant term of Poincaré series as they remarked. To resolve this issue, we use the supplementary function theory developed by M. Knopp and his collaborators [9, 10] to study Eichler integrals and Eichler cohomology for Fuchsian groups. This idea enables us not only to get a desirable generalization, but also to get more precise information for the contribution from the constant terms of harmonic weak Maass forms to period polynomials. Moreover, from this point of view, we could show that the set of Eichler integrals of which period functions are polynomials is the same as the set of holomorphic parts of harmonic weak Maass forms of which non-holomorphic parts are periodic integration of cusp forms. Zagier called these cusp forms as *shadows* [18], and actually the supplementary function is closely related with the shadow. In this sense, our approach is based on the role of shadows in the harmonic weak Maass forms.

Let $\Gamma$ be a $H$-group, i.e., a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind, which has at least one parabolic class. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\chi$ be a (unitary) character of $\Gamma$. A harmonic weak Maass form of weight $k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$ is a smooth function on the upper half plane with possible singularities at cusps that transforms like a modular form of weight $k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$ and is annihilated by the weight $k$ hyperbolic Laplacian

$$\Delta_k = -y^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)+iky\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right).$$

We denote by $H_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight $k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$.

For the differential operator $\xi_{2-k}(f)(z) := 2iy^{2-k}\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}}$, the assignment $f(z) \mapsto \xi_{2-k}(f)(z)$ gives an anti-linear mapping

$$\xi_{2-k} : H_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) \to M^!_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma),$$

where $M^!_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$ is the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $k$ and character $\bar{\chi}$ on $\Gamma$. Let $H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ be the inverse image of the space of cusp forms $S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$ under the mapping $\xi_{2-k}$. Any harmonic weak Maass form $f(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ has a unique decomposition $f(z) = f^+(z) + f^-(z)$, where the function $f^+(z)$ (resp. $f^-(z)$) is called the *holomorphic* (resp. *non-holomorphic*) part of $f(z)$. We define $H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ is the space of holomorphic parts of $f(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.

On the other hand, a function $F(z)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is called an *Eichler integral* of weight $2-k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$ if

$$(D^{k-1}F|_{k,\chi}\gamma)(z) = (D^{k-1}F)(z)$$

for all $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$, where $(F|_{k,\chi}\gamma)(z) := \bar{\chi}(\gamma)(cz+d)^{-k}F(\gamma z)$ and $D = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{dz}$. We let $E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ denote the space of holomorphic Eichler integrals $F(z)$ of weight $2-k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$ such that

(1) $F(z)$ is invariant under $|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma$ for all parabolic elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
(2) $(D^{k-1}F)(z)$ can be written as

$$(D^{k-1}F)(z) = g^*(z) + (D^{k-1}G)(z),$$

where $g^*(z)$ is a supplementary function to a cusp form $g(z) \in S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$ and $G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ (for the definition of a supplementary function, see Section 3.2).

Our first result shows that we can understand holomorphic parts of harmonic weak Maass forms in terms of Eichler integrals. Throughout the paper, we always assume that $k > 2$ and $-I \in \Gamma$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let* $H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ *and* $E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ *be as above. Then we have*

$$E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) & \text{if } \kappa \neq 0, \\ H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) + \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \end{cases}$$

*where* $\kappa$ *is a explicit constant* $\in [0, 1)$ *depending on* $\chi$ *and* $\Gamma$*. (See Section 2.1 for the precise definition.)*

Now we turn into period functions. A form $f(z) \in M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ is a *weakly holomorphic cusp form* if its constant term vanishes. Let $S^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ denote the space of weakly holomorphic cusp forms. For $f(z) = \sum_{n\gg-\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z/\lambda} \in S^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, its *Eichler integral* is

$$\mathcal{E}_f(z) := \sum_{\substack{n\gg-\infty \\ n\neq 0}} a_n \left(\frac{n+\kappa}{\lambda}\right)^{-(k-1)} e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z/\lambda}.$$

We define the *period function* for $f(z)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by

$$r(f, \gamma; z) := c_k(\mathcal{E}_f - \mathcal{E}_f|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z),$$

where $c_k := -\frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(2\pi i)^{k-1}}$.

On the other hand, following K. Bringmann et al.[5], for each $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{F}^+(z) \in H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, we define the *$\gamma$-mock modular period function* for $\mathcal{F}^+(z)$ by

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma; z) := \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}(\mathcal{F}^+ - \mathcal{F}^+|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z).$$

The following theorem is a generalization of [5, Theorem 1.1] showing that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma; z)$ is related with the period function $r(\xi_{2-k}\mathcal{F}, \gamma; z)$ and its coefficients encode critical values of $L$-functions associated to $f(z) := \xi_{2-k}\mathcal{F}(z)$.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let* $\mathcal{F}(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ *and* $f(z) = \xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F})(z) \in S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$*. Then we have that*

$$[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma; \bar{z})]^- = \frac{1}{c_k} r(f, \gamma; z),$$

*where* $[\ ]^-$ *indicates the complex conjugate of the function inside* $[\ ]^-$*. Moreover,*

$$[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma_{c,d}; \bar{z} - \frac{d}{c})]^- = \sum_{n=0}^{k-2} \frac{L(f, \zeta_{c\lambda}^{-d}, n+1)}{(k-2-n)!}(2\pi i z)^{k-2-n},$$

*where* $\gamma_{c,d} \in \Gamma$ *is any matrix satisfying* $\gamma_{c,d} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$*. Here,* $L(f, \zeta_{c\lambda}^{-d}, s)$ *is defined by*

$$L(f, \zeta_{c\lambda}^{-d}, s) := \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{Z} \\ n+\kappa'>0}} \frac{b_n \zeta_{c\lambda}^{-d(n+\kappa')}}{(n+\kappa')^s},$$

*where* $\zeta_{c\lambda} = e^{2\pi i/(c\lambda)}$ *and* $\kappa'$ *is a explicit constant* $\in [0,1)$ *depending on* $\bar{\chi}$ *and* $\Gamma$ *provided* $f(z) = \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{Z}\\ n+\kappa'>0}} b_n e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa')z/\lambda}$. *(See Section 2.1 for the precise definition of* $\kappa'$*).*

Furthermore, for $\mathcal{F}(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there are two natural periods $r(\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; z)$ and $r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; z)$ and they satisfy the following relation.

**Theorem 1.3.** *If* $\mathcal{F}(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ *and* $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$, *then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} r(\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; z) =& \frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} \Bigg\{ [r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; \bar{z})]^- \\ & + \delta_{\kappa,0} \overline{c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})} c_k} \left(1 - c^{k-2}\chi(\gamma)\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^{k-2}\right)\Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

*where* $c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})}$ *is an explicit constant depending on* $\mathcal{F}(z)$*. (See Section 3.2 for the precise definition.) Moreover, if* $\lambda = 1$ *and* $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$*, then there is a function* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ *for which* $\xi_{2-k}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) = \xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F})$ *and*

$$r(\xi_{2-k}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}), \gamma; z) = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} [r(D^{k-1}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}), \gamma; \bar{z})]^-.$$

Theorem 1.3 is a generalization of [5, Theorem 1.4]. However, by employing the supplementary function theory developed by M. Knopp and his collaborators [9, 10], we could obtain the exact equation rather than a congruence.

**Example 1.4.** *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $S = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, *and* $k = 3$, $4$, *or* $5$*. For a multiplier system* $\chi$ *defined by* $\chi(\gamma) := \frac{\eta^{2k}(\gamma z)}{\eta^{2k}(z)}$, $\eta^{2k}(z)$ *is the unique cusp form (up to constant muliples) in the space* $S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$*. If* $\mathcal{F}(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$*, then* $\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F})(z) \in S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ *and its period polynomial* $r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), S; z)$ *lies in the space*

$$W := \{P(z) \in V_{k-2} |\ (P + P|_{2-k,\bar{\chi}}S)(z) = (P + P|_{2-k,\bar{\chi}}U + P|_{2-k,\bar{\chi}}U^2)(z) = 0\},$$

*where* $V_{k-2}$ *is the space of polynomials of degree* $\leq k-2$ *in* $z$ *and* $U := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$*. After some computations, we find that the period polynomial* $r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), S; z)$ *is equal to*

$$\begin{cases} c_{\mathcal{F}}(z+i) & \text{if } k = 3, \\ c_{\mathcal{F}}(z^2 - \sqrt{3}iz - 1) & \text{if } k = 4, \\ c_{\mathcal{F}}(z^3 + \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{2}iz^2 - \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{2}z - i) & \text{if } k = 5, \end{cases}$$

*where* $c_{\mathcal{F}}$ *is a constant depending on* $\mathcal{F}(z)$*.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study harmonic weak Maass forms and derive some properties of those under $\xi_{2-k}$ and $D^{k-1}$. In Section 3, we recall definitions and basic facts about Eichler integrals and we construct Poincaré series which give supplementary functions and Eichler integrals. In Section 4, we conclude with proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3.

## 2. Harmonic weak Maass forms

Here we briefly recall definitions and basic facts of modular forms and harmonic weak Maass forms. For details, consult [15] for modular forms and [6, 7] for harmonic weak Maass forms, for example.

### 2.1. Modular forms.

Let $\Gamma$ be a *H-group*, i.e., a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind which has at least one parabolic class. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\chi$ a (unitary) character of $\Gamma$. We introduce the useful slash operator

$$(F|_{k,\chi}\gamma)(z) = \bar{\chi}(\gamma)(cz+d)^{-k}F(\gamma z),$$

for any function $F(z)$ and $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$. Let $T = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\lambda > 0$, generate the subgroup $\Gamma_\infty$ of translations in $\Gamma$. If $F(z)$ satisfies $(F|_{k,\chi}T)(z) = F(z)$, then

$$F(z+\lambda) = \chi(T)F(z) = e^{2\pi i\kappa}F(z),$$

with $0 \le \kappa < 1$. Thus, if $F(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{H}$, then $F(z)$ has the Fourier expansion at $i\infty$ (actually a Laurent expansion)

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{[2\pi i(n+\kappa)z]/\lambda}. \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose that in addition to $i\infty$, $\Gamma$ has $t \ge 0$ inequivalent parabolic classes. Each of these classes corresponds to a cyclic subgroup of parabolic elements in $\Gamma$ leaving fixed a parabolic cusp on the boundary of $\mathbb{H}$. Such a parabolic cusp lies on the real axis. Let $q_1, \cdots, q_t$ be the inequivalent parabolic cusps (other than $i\infty$) on the boundary of $\mathbb{H}$ and let $\Gamma_j$ be the cyclic subgroup of $\Gamma$ fixing $q_j$, $1 \le j \le t$. Suppose also that

$$Q_j = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ c_j & d_j \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ 1 \le j \le t, \tag{2.2}$$

is a generator of $\Gamma_j$. For $1 \le j \le t$, put $\chi(Q_j) = e^{2\pi i\kappa_j}$, $0 \le \kappa_j < 1$. If a holomorphic function $F(z)$ satisfies $(F|_{k,\chi}Q_j)(z) = F(z)$, then $F(z)$ has the following Fourier expansion at $q_j$:

$$F(z) = \left(\frac{-1}{\lambda_j(z-q_j)}\right)^k \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(j) e^{[-2\pi i(n+\kappa_j)]/[\lambda_j(z-q_j)]},$$

where $\lambda_j$ is a positive real number called the *width of the cusp* $q_j$ and defined as follows. Let $A_j = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -q_j \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, so that $A_j$ has determinant 1 and $A_j(q_j) = \infty$. Then $\lambda_j > 0$ is chosen so that

$$A_j^{-1}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \lambda_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)A_j$$

generates $\Gamma_j$, the stabilizer of $q_j$.

We are now in a position to give the following:

**Definition 2.1.** *Suppose $F(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{H}$ and satisfies the functional equation*

$$(F|_{k,\chi}\gamma)(z) = F(z),$$

*for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

(1) *If $F(z)$ has only finitely many terms with $n+\kappa<0$ in (2.1) and $n+\kappa_j<0$, $1\le j\le t$, in (2.2), then $F(z)$ is called a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$. The set of all such weakly holomorphic modular forms will be denoted by $M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.*

(2) *Let $F(z)\in M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. Suppose in addition $F(z)$ has only terms with $n+\kappa\ge 0$ in (2.1) and $n+\kappa_j\ge 0$, $1\le j\le t$, in (2.2). Then $F(z)$ is called a holomorphic modular form. The set of holomorphic modular forms in $M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ is denoted $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.*

(3) *If $F(z)\in M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ and has only terms with $n+\kappa>0$, $n+\kappa_j>0$ in the expansions (2.1), (2.2), respectively, then $F(z)$ is called a cusp form. The collection of cusp forms in $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ is denoted $S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.*

2.2. **Harmonic weak Maass forms.** We define the weight $k$ hyperbolic Laplacian by

$$\Delta_k := -y^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)+iky\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right).$$

**Definition 2.2.** *A harmonic weak Maass form of weight $k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$ is any smooth function on $\mathbb{H}$ satisfying*

(1) $(f|_{k,\chi}\gamma)(z)=f(z)$ *for all* $\gamma\in\Gamma$.

(2) $\Delta_k f=0$.

(3) *A linear exponential growth condition in terms of $y$ at every cusps.*

*We write $H_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ for the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight $k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$.*

Recall that $T=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&\lambda\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\lambda>0$, be a generator of $\Gamma_\infty$ and $\chi(T)=e^{2\pi i\kappa}$. The transformation property (1) implies that $f(z)\in H_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ has the Fourier expansion

$$f(z)=\sum_{n\gg-\infty} a_n(y)e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)x/\lambda}.$$

Since $\Delta_k f=0$, the coefficients $a_n(y)$ satisfy the second order differential equation

$$\Delta_k a_n(y)e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)x/\lambda}=0$$

as functions in $y$. Here we choose the function

$$H(w)=e^{-w}\int_{-2w}^{\infty} e^{-t}t^{-k}dt.$$

The integral converges for $k<1$ and can be holomorphically continued in $k$ (for $w\neq 0$) in the same way as the Gamma function. If $w<0$, then $H(w)=e^{-w}\Gamma(1-k,-2w)$, where $\Gamma(a,x)$ denotes the incomplete Gamma function as in [1]. We find that

$$a_n(y)=\begin{cases} a_0^+ + a_0^- y^{1-k} & \text{if } n+\kappa=0,\\ a_n^+ e^{-2\pi(n+\kappa)y/\lambda}+a_n^- H(2\pi(n+\kappa)y/\lambda) & \text{if } n+\kappa\neq 0,\end{cases}$$

with complex coefficients $a_n^{\pm}$. Thus any harmonic weak Maass form $f(z)$ of weight $k$ has the unique decomposition $f(z) = f^+(z) + f^-(z)$, where

$$f^+(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_n^+ e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z/\lambda}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$f^-(z) = \delta_{\kappa,0} a_0^- y^{1-k} + \sum_{\substack{n \ll \infty \\ n+\kappa \neq 0}} a_n^- H(2\pi(n+\kappa)y/\lambda) e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)x/\lambda},$$

where $\delta_{\kappa,0} = 1$ if $\kappa = 0$, and $\delta_{\kappa,0} = 0$ otherwise. The function $f^+(z)$ (resp. $f^-(z)$) is called the *holomorphic* (resp. *non-holomorphic*) part of $f(z)$.

### 2.3. **Differential operators.**

We define the Maass raising and lowering operators on non-holomorphic modular forms of weight $k$ as follows:

$$R_k = 2i\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + ky^{-1}, \text{ and } L_k = -2iy^2\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

The following theorem is a summary of results on how these differential operators acting on the space of harmonic weak Maass forms.

**Theorem 2.3.** [6, Proposition 3.2], [7, Theorem 1.2] *Let* $f(z) \in H_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.

(1) *The assignment* $f(z) \mapsto \xi_{2-k}(f)(z) := y^{-k}\overline{L_{2-k}f(z)} = R_{k-2}y^{2-k}\overline{f(z)}$ *defines an anti-linear mapping*

$$\xi_{2-k} : H_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) \to M^!_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma).$$

*Moreover, the kernel of* $\xi_{2-k}$ *is* $M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.

(2) *Let* $D := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{dz}$. *Then* $D^{k-1}$ *defines a linear map*

$$D^{k-1} : H_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) \to M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma).$$

We let $H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ denote the inverse image of the space of cusp forms $S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$ under the mapping $\xi_{2-k}$. Hence, if $f(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, then the Fourier coefficients $a_n^-$ vanish if $n + \kappa$ is non-negative.

## 3. EICHLER INTEGRALS

In this section, we introduce basic notions of Eichler integrals and show how to make the Eichler integrals for a given cusp form by using the supplementary function.

### 3.1. **Eichler integrals.**

A result of Bol [2] states that

$$D^{k-1}[(cz+d)^{k-2}F(\gamma z)] = (cz+d)^{-k}(D^{k-1}F)(\gamma z) \tag{3.1}$$

for any $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and any function $F(z)$ with sufficiently many derivatives. From (3.1) we see that if $F(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, then $(D^{k-1}F)(z) \in M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, if $f(z) \in M^!_{k,\chi}(\gamma)$ and $F(z)$ is any $(k-1)$-fold indefinite integral of $f$, then $F$ satisfies

$$(F|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z) = F(z) + p_\gamma(z), \ \gamma \in \Gamma, \tag{3.2}$$

where $p_\gamma(z)$ is a polynomial in $z$ of degree $\leq k-2$.

**Definition 3.1.** *If $F(z)$ is a function in $\mathbb{H}$ such that $(D^{k-1}F)(z) \in M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, then $F(z)$ is called an Eichler integral of weight $2-k$ and character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$. The polynomials $p_\gamma(z)$ occuring in (3.2) is called the period polynomials of $F(z)$ (or of $(D^{k-1}F)(z)$).*

For example, we suppose $f(z) \in S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ and define

$$\mathcal{E}_f(z) := \frac{1}{c_k}\int_z^{i\infty} f(\tau)(z-\tau)^{k-2}d\tau, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_f^N(z) := \frac{1}{c_k}\left[\int_z^{i\infty} f(\tau)(\bar{z}-\tau)^{k-2}d\tau\right]^-, \tag{3.4}$$

where $[\ ]^-$ indicates the complex conjugate of the function inside $[\ ]$. Their period polynomials are also can be written explicitly and they satisfy a certain relation.

**Proposition 3.2.** [11, Lemma 2.2] *Let $\mathcal{E}_f(z)$ and $\mathcal{E}_f^N(z)$ be the Eichler integrals defined by* (3.3) *and* (3.4)*. Then*

$$r(f,\gamma;z) := c_k(\mathcal{E}_f - \mathcal{E}_f|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z) = \int_{\gamma^{-1}(i\infty)}^{i\infty} f(\tau)(z-\tau)^{k-2}d\tau, \tag{3.5}$$

*and*

$$r^N(f,\gamma;z) := c_k(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_f - \hat{\mathcal{E}}_f|_{2-k,\bar{\chi}}\gamma)(z) = \left[\int_{\gamma^{-1}(i\infty)}^{i\infty} f(\tau)(\bar{z}-\tau)^{k-2}d\tau\right]^-, \tag{3.6}$$

*for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In particular, $r(f,\gamma;z) = [r^N(f,\gamma;\bar{z})]^-$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

3.2. **Supplementary function.** Suppose that $k$ is a positive integer. Let $m$ be an integer and consider the Poincaré series

$$g_m(z,\chi) = \sum_\gamma \frac{e^{2\pi i(m+\kappa)\gamma z/\lambda}}{\chi(\gamma)(cz+d)^k}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ runs through a complete set of elements of $\Gamma$ with distinct lower row. The following properties of the Poincaré series are well known:

**Theorem 3.3.** [13, pp. 272-289] *For the Poincaré series $g_m(z,\chi)$ defined by* (3.7)*, we have*

1. *$g_m(z,\chi) \in M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.*
2. *$g_m(z,\chi)$ vanishes at all cusps of $\Gamma$ except possibly at $i\infty$. At $i\infty$ it has an expansion of the form*
$$g_m(z,\chi) = 2e^{2\pi i(m+\kappa)z/\lambda} + 2\sum_{n+\kappa>0} a_n(m,\chi)e^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z/\lambda}.$$
*Thus, if $m+\kappa>0$, then $g_m(z,\chi) \in S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.*
3. *There exist integers $0 \le m_1 < \cdots < m_s$ such that $g_{m_1}(z,\chi), \cdots, g_{m_s}(z,\chi)$ form a basis for $S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.*

For $f(z) \in S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, by (3) of Theorem 3.3, there exist complex numbers $b_1, \cdots, b_s$ such that $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i g_{m_i}(z, \chi)$. Put $f^*(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \overline{b_i} g_{m_i'}(z, \bar{\chi})$, where

$$m' = \begin{cases} -m & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ -1-m & \text{if } \kappa > 0. \end{cases}$$

Recall that $\chi(T) = e^{2\pi i \kappa},\ 0 \le \kappa < 1$, thus we see that $\bar{\chi}(T) = e^{2\pi i \kappa'},\ 0 \le \kappa' < 1$, where

$$\kappa' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ 1-\kappa & \text{if } \kappa > 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Thus we have the expansion at $i\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_i'}(z, \bar{\chi}) &= 2e^{2\pi i (m_i' + \kappa')z/\lambda} + 2 \sum_{n+\kappa'>0} a_n(m_i', \bar{\chi}) e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa')z/\lambda} \\ &= 2e^{-2\pi i (m_i + \kappa)z/\lambda} + 2 \sum_{n+\kappa'>0} a_n(m_i', \bar{\chi}) e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa')z/\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f^*(z) \in M^!_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$, $f^*(z)$ has a pole at $i\infty$ with principal part

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} \overline{b_i} e^{-2\pi i (m_i+\kappa)z/\lambda},$$

and $f^*(z)$ vanishes at all of the other cusps of $\Gamma$. We call $f^*(z)$ the *function supplementary to* $f(z)$.

A form $f(z) \in M^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ is a *weakly holomorphic cusp form* if its constant term vanishes. Let $S^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ denote the space of weakly holomorphic cusp forms. If $f(z) = \sum_{n+\kappa>0} a_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)\tau/\lambda} \in S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_f(z) = \sum_{n+\kappa>0} a_n \left( \frac{n+\kappa}{\lambda} \right)^{-(k-1)} e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)\tau/\lambda}.$$

Now we extend this definition to $f(z) = \sum_{n+\kappa \gg -\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)\tau/\lambda} \in S^!_{k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ as

$$\mathcal{E}_f(z) = \sum_{\substack{n+\kappa \gg -\infty \\ n+\kappa \neq 0}} a_n \left( \frac{n+\kappa}{\lambda} \right)^{-(k-1)} e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)\tau/\lambda}.$$

In [12], J. Lehner showed that the Fourier coefficients of modular forms of negative weight are completely determined by the principal part of the expansion of those forms at the cusps using circle method. Hence, we can define the constant term $c_f$ associated with $\mathcal{E}_f(z)$ using the information of principal part of $\mathcal{E}_f(z)$. For example, if we assume that $f(z)$ has a pole at $i\infty$ and that it is holomorphic at all other cusps, then $c_f$ is equal to

$$c_f := \frac{1}{\lambda (k-1)!} \sum_{l<0} \sum_{\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in C^+} a_l \left( \frac{-2\pi i}{c} \right)^k \chi^{-1}(\gamma) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{c\lambda} la},$$

where

$$C^+ := \{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma |\ c > 0,\ 0 \le -d,\ a < c\lambda \}.$$

With this constant term $c_f$, we define another Eichler integral and period functions of $f(z)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_f^H(z) := \mathcal{E}_f(z) + \delta_{\kappa,0}c_f,$$

and

$$r^H(f,\gamma;z) = c_k(\mathcal{E}_f^H - \mathcal{E}_f^H|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z).$$

The following proposition describes the properties of $\mathcal{E}^H$ and $r^H$, which we will use later.

**Proposition 3.4.** [9, Section 2], [12, Theorem 1] *Suppose that $k > 2$ is an integer. Then we have*

1. $r^H(f,\gamma;z) = [r^H(f^*,\gamma;\bar{z})]^-$ *for all* $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
2. *If* $f \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, *then* $\mathcal{E}^H_{D^{k-1}f}(z) = f(z)$.

## 4. Proofs of main theorems

In this section, we prove main theorems. First, we prove Theorem 1.1 via the supplementary functions associated to the cusp forms.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that $\kappa = 0$. Then it is clear that $\mathbb{C} \subset E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. For $\mathcal{F}^+(z) \in H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, by the definition, there is a non-holomorphic function $\mathcal{F}^-(z)$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(z) := \mathcal{F}^+(z) + \mathcal{F}^-(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma).$$

Then $h(z) := (\xi_{2-k}\mathcal{F}^-)(z) \in S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$. Let $h^*(z)$ be its supplementary function. We define

$$\mathcal{H}^+(z) := \mathcal{E}^H_{h^*}(z),\ \mathcal{H}^-(z) := -\mathcal{E}^N_h(z).$$

By Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, we find that

$$r^H(h^*,\gamma;z) = [r^H(h,\gamma;\bar{z})]^- = [r(h,\gamma;\bar{z})]^- = r^N(h,\gamma;z),$$

which implies that $\mathcal{H}(z) := \mathcal{H}^+(z) + \mathcal{H}^-(z)$ is invariant under the slash opeartor $|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We can also check that $\Delta_{2-k}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. Note that

$$(\xi_{2-k}\mathcal{H}^-)(z) = \frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}h(z)$$

and hence $\xi_{2-k}\left(\mathcal{F} - \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}\mathcal{H}\right)(z) = 0$. Therefore, we have arrived at

$$G(z) := \left(\mathcal{F} - \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}\mathcal{H}\right)(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$$

by Theorem 2.3. Note that

$$D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}^+) = D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}h^*(z) + (D^{k-1}G)(z),$$

because $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}^H_{h^*})(z) = h^*(z)$. Since $\frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}h^*(z)$ is a supplementary function to a cusp form $\frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}h(z) \in S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$, we can conclude that $H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) \subset E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$.

Conversely suppose that $F(z) \in E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. Then by the definition of $E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, we can decompose $(D^{k-1}F)(z)$ as follows:

$$(D^{k-1}F)(z) = g^*(z) + (D^{k-1}G)(z),$$

where $g^*(z)$ is a supplementary function to a cusp form $g(z) \in S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$ and $G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. If we define $\mathcal{H}^+(z)$ and $\mathcal{H}^-(z)$ as

$$\mathcal{H}^+(z) := \mathcal{E}^H_{g^*}(z),\ \mathcal{H}^-(z) := -\mathcal{E}^N_g(z),$$

then, we already checked that $\mathcal{H}^+(z) + \mathcal{H}^-(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. Since $G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) \subset H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, we see that

$$\mathcal{H}(z) := \mathcal{H}^+(z) + \mathcal{H}^-(z) + G(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma).$$

Moreover, since the holomorphic part of $\mathcal{H}(z)$ is $\mathcal{H}^+(z) + G(z)$ and

$$D^{k-1}(\mathcal{H}^+ + G)(z) = g^*(z) + (D^{k-1}G)(z) = D^{k-1}(F)(z),$$

we obtain that $F(z) = (\mathcal{H}^+ + G)(z) + c$ for some constance $c \in \mathbb{C}$, as desired.

Now suppose that $\kappa \neq 0$. Note that in this case there is no constant term in the Fourier expansion. By the similar argument in the case of $\kappa = 0$, we can check that

$$H^+_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma) = E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma),$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 1.1 will play a key role in the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let $\mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{F}^+(z) + \mathcal{F}^-(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. By Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{F}^+(z) \in E_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ and this implies that

$$(D^{k-1}\mathcal{F}^+)(z) = g^*(z) + (D^{k-1}G)(z),$$

where $g^*(z)$ is a supplementary function to a cusp form $g(z) \in S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$ and $G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we also observed that

$$\mathcal{F}^-(z) = -\mathcal{E}^N_g(z).$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma; z) &= \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}(\mathcal{F}^+ - \mathcal{F}^+|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z)\\ &= -\frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}(\mathcal{F}^- - \mathcal{F}^-|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z)\\ &= \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}(\mathcal{E}^N_g - \mathcal{E}^N_g|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z)\\ &= \frac{1}{c_k}\frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}r^N(g,\gamma;z) = \frac{1}{c_k}\frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}[r(g,\gamma;\bar{z})]^-.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F}^-) = \frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}g(z) = f(z)$, we find that

$$r(g,\gamma;z) = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}r(f,\gamma;z),$$

and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma; \bar{z})]^- &= \frac{1}{\overline{c_k}} \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} r(g, \gamma; z) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{\overline{c_k}} r(f, \gamma; z) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_k} r(f, \gamma; z).\end{aligned}$$

In the case of $\gamma = \gamma_{c,d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma_{c,d}; \bar{z})]^- =& \frac{1}{c_k} r(f, \gamma_{c,d}; z) \\ =& \frac{1}{c_k} \int_{-\frac{d}{c}}^{i\infty} f(\tau)(z-\tau)^{k-2} d\tau \\ =& \frac{1}{c_k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-2} i^{-n+1} \binom{k-2}{n} \\ & \times \left( \int_0^\infty f\left(it - \frac{d}{c}\right) t^n dt \right) \left( z + \frac{d}{c} \right)^{k-2-n} \\ =& \sum_{n=0}^{k-2} \frac{L(f, \zeta_{c\lambda}^{-d}, n+1)}{(k-2-n)!} \left( 2\pi i \left( z + \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-2-n}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the change of variable $z \mapsto z - \frac{d}{c}$, we get the desired result

$$[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^+, \gamma_{c,d}; \bar{z} - \frac{d}{c})]^- = \sum_{n=0}^{k-2} \frac{L(f, \zeta_{c\lambda}^{-d}, n+1)}{(k-2-n)!} (2\pi i z)^{k-2-n}.$$

This completes the proof. □

To prove Theorem 1.3 we need following lemmas:

**Lemma 4.1.** [3, Theorem 3.1] *Let $F(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$. Then $F(z) \in M^!_{2,Id}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n b_{-n} = 0,$$

*for all $\sum_{n \gg -\infty} b_n e^{2\pi i n z} \in M^!_{0,Id}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$. Here, Id means the trivial character.*

**Lemma 4.2.** *If $\kappa = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then there are forms in $M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ with non-zero constant terms.*

**Proof of Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that there is no weakly holomorphic modular form in $M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ with non-zero constant term. Suppose that $F(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ is a non-zero weakly holomorphic modular form in $M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. For every weakly holomorphic modular form $G(z) :=$

$\sum_{n\gg-\infty} b_n e^{2\pi i n z} \in M^!_{0,Id}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, we see that $F(z)G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. By assumption, the constant term of $F(z)G(z)$ is zero, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n b_{-n} = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, we can consider $F(z)$ as a formal power series as in Lemma 4.1. Then, since (4.1) is hold for every $\sum_{n\gg-\infty} b_n e^{2\pi i n z} \in M^!_{0,Id}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, by Lemma 4.1, we see that $F(z)$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form in $M^!_{2,Id}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$. But, $F(z)$ is already a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $2-k$. Since $k>0$, we see that 2 and $2-k$ can not be the same. Therefore, $F(z)$ should be zero and this is a contradiction by the assumption that $F(z)$ is non-zero. In conclusion, there is a weakly holomorphic modular form $F(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ whose constant term is not zero. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that $\mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{F}^+(z) + \mathcal{F}^-(z) \in H^*_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. By Theorem 1.1,

$$(D^{k-1}\mathcal{F})(z) = (D^{k-1}\mathcal{F}^+)(z) = g^*(z) + (D^{k-1}G)(z),$$

where $g^*(z)$ is a supplementary function to a cusp form $g(z) \in S_{k,\bar{\chi}}(\Gamma)$ and $G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$. We also observe that

$$\mathcal{F}^-(z) = -\mathcal{E}^N_g(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F})(z) = \xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F}^-)(z) = \frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} g(z).$$

Therefore, we find that

$$r(\xi_{2-k}\mathcal{F}, \gamma; z) = \frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} r(g, \gamma; z) = \frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} [r(g^*, \gamma; \bar{z})]^-,$$

and

$$r^H(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; z) = r^H(g^*, \gamma; z) + r^H(D^{k-1}G, \gamma; z).$$

Since $G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$, we see that $\mathcal{E}^H_{D^{k-1}(G)}(z) = G(z) \in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ by Proposition 3.4 and hence we have

$$r^H(D^{k-1}G, \gamma; z) = c_k(\mathcal{E}^H_{D^{k-1}(G)} - \mathcal{E}^H_{D^{k-1}(G)}|_{2-k,\chi}\gamma)(z) = 0$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore, we arrive at

$$r^H(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; z) = r(g^*, \gamma; z) = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} [r(\xi_{2-k}\mathcal{F}, \gamma; \bar{z})]^-.$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} r^H(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; z) =& r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}), \gamma; z) \\ &+ \delta_{\kappa,0} c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})} c_k \left(1 - c^{k-2}\bar{\chi}(\gamma)\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^{k-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that the period $r(\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F}),\gamma;z)$ is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}&(-1)^{k-1}\frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}[r^H(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}),\gamma;\bar{z})]^-\\ &=\frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}\Bigg\{[r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}),\gamma;\bar{z})]^-\\ &+\delta_{\kappa,0}\overline{c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})}c_k}\left(1-c^{k-2}\chi(\gamma)\left(z+\frac{d}{c}\right)^{k-2}\right)\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\kappa=0$, $\lambda=1$ and $\Gamma\subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then by Lemma 4.2, we know that there is a weakly holomorphic modular form $H(z)\in M^!_{2-k,\chi}(\Gamma)$ with non-zero constant term $d\in\mathbb{C}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}r(D^{k-1}H,\gamma;z)&=r^H(D^{k-1}H,\gamma;z)-dc_k\left(1-c^{k-2}\bar{\chi}(\gamma)\left(z+\frac{d}{c}\right)^{k-2}\right)\\ &=-dc_k\left(1-c^{k-2}\bar{\chi}(\gamma)\left(z+\frac{d}{c}\right)^{k-2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

because $r^H(D^{k-1}H,\gamma;z)=0$ by Proposition 3.4. Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(z)=\mathcal{F}(z)-\frac{c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})}}{d}H(z)$. Then $\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F})(z)=\xi_{2-k}(\hat{\mathcal{F}})(z)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}r(\xi_{2-k}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}),\gamma;z)=&r(\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{F}),\gamma;z)\\ =&\frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}\Bigg\{[r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}),\gamma;\bar{z})]^-\\ &+\overline{c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})}c_k}\left(1-c^{k-2}\chi(\gamma)\left(z+\frac{d}{c}\right)^{k-2}\right)\Bigg\}\\ =&\frac{(-4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}[r(D^{k-1}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}),\gamma;\bar{z})]^-.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes from the following computation

$$\begin{aligned}[r(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}),\gamma;\bar{z})]^-=&[r(D^{k-1}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}),\gamma;\bar{z})]^-+\frac{\overline{c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})}}}{d}[r(H,\gamma;\bar{z})]^-\\ =&[r(D^{k-1}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}),\gamma;\bar{z})]^-\\ &-\overline{c_{D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})}c_k}\left(1-c^{k-2}\chi(\gamma)\left(z+\frac{d}{c}\right)^{k-2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ☐

**Acknowledgment.** To be added...

## REFERENCES

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Pocketbook of Mathematical Functions, Verlag Harri Deutsch, 1984.
[2] G. Bol, Invarianten linearer Differentialgleichungen, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **16: 3-4** (1949), 1–28.
[3] R. E. Borcherds, The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions, Duke Math. J. **97** (1999), no. 2, 219–233.

[4] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, Dyson's ranks and Maass forms, Annals of Math. **171** (2010), 419–449.

[5] K. Bringmann, P. Guerzhoy, Z. Kent and K. Ono, Eichler-Shimura theory for mock modular forms, Math. Ann., to appear.

[6] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, On two geometric theta lifts, Duke Math. J. **125** (2004), 45–90.

[7] J. H. Bruinier, K. Ono and R. C. Rhoades, Differential operators for harmonic weak Maass forms and the vanishing of Hecke eigenvalues, Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 673–693.

[8] M. Eichler, Eine Verallgemeinerung der Abelschen Integrale, Math. Z. **67** (1957), 267–298.

[9] S. Y. Husseini and M. I. Knopp, Eichler cohomology and automorphic forms, Illinois J. Math. **15** (1971), 565–577.

[10] M. I. Knopp, Construction of automorphic forms on $H$-groups and supplementary Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962), 168–188.

[11] M. I. Knopp and H. Mawi, Eichler cohomology theorem for automorphic forms of small weights, Proceedings of the American Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 2, 395–404.

[12] J. Lehner, The Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms on horocyclic groups. II, Michigan Math. J. 6 (1959), 173-193.

[13] J. Lehner, Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions, Math. Surveys, no. 8, American Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1964.

[14] Y. Manin, Periods of parabolic forms and $p$-adic Hecke series, Math. USSR Sbornik **21** (1973), no. 3, 371–393.

[15] T. Miyake, Modular forms, Translated from the Japanese by Yoshitaka Maeda, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[16] K. Ono, Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory, Proceedings of the 2008 Harvard-MIT current Developments in Mathematics Conference, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, 347–454.

[17] G. Shimura, On the holomorphy of certain Dirichlet series, Proc. London Math. Soc. **31** (1975), 79–98.

[18] D. Zagier, Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications [d'aprés Zwegers and Bringmann-Ono], Sém. Bourbaki, 60éme année, 2006-2007, no. 986.

[19] S. Zwegers, Mock theta functions, Ph. D. Thesis, U. Utrecht, 2002.

School of liberal arts and sciences, Korea Aerospace University, 200-1, Hwajeon-dong, Goyang, Gyeonggi 412-791, Republic of Korea

*E-mail address*: `choija@kau.ac.kr`

School of Liberal Arts, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, 172 Gongreung 2 dong, Nowongu, Seoul, 139-743, Republic of Korea

*E-mail address*: `bkim4@seoultech.ac.kr`

Korea Institute for Advanced Study(KIAS), 85 Hoegiro (Cheongnyangni-dong 207-43), Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Republic of Korea

*E-mail address*: `subong@kias.re.kr`